

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB)  
Science and Technology Review Panel (STRP)  
Hotel Washington  
Capital Room  
515 15<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, DC**

**for February 24-25, 2003 Public Meeting**

**Monday, February 24<sup>th</sup>**

9:15 am	Welcome and Purpose of Meeting Committee Administration Meeting Logistics	Dr. Genevieve Matanoski, Chair Mr. Thomas Miller, DFO
9:30 am	Welcome and Overview Comment Agency Budget Process & Schedule w/Discussion	Mr. David Bloom, Director Annual Planning and Budget Division OCFO
	<b>Program Office presentations on FY2004 Budget's science activities</b>	
9:55	Office of Research and Development	Dr. William Farland, ORD
10:50	Office of Pollution Prevention Pesticides and Toxic Substances	Dr. Joe Merenda, OPPTS
11:05	Office of Water	Dr. Rita Schoeny, OW
11:30	Office of Air & Radiation	Mr. Rob Brenner OAR
11:55	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response	Dr. Devereaux Barnes, OSWER

Senior Program Office Science Managers will describe their key programs describing how their science activities support their programmatic efforts. Presentations will cover:

- a. Key Programs in support of specific Goals (major issues that need science input)
- b. General investment levels that support these key programs, including the appropriation identities
- c. A general sense of how the investments split between intramural and extramural components
- d. A sense of the types of peer review that has been integrated into program planning and how it influences investments
- e. A sense of the kinds of coordination that has been conducted for their key programs (within and outside EPA)
- f. Information on performance measures for key programs presented
- g. Information on how things fit into core vs problem driven research (mostly ORD)

12:15 **Presentations from the Public** Mr. Ray Garant, American Chemical Society

12:45 Lunch

- 1:45 pm      **Charge Question 1: Does the budget request reflect priorities identified in the EPA and ORD Strategic Plans?**
- 2:30 pm      **Charge Question 2: Does the budget request reflect coordination between ORD and the Program Offices, including identification of the science needed to support major upcoming rules and decisions?**
- 3:05 pm      **Charge Question 3: Does the President's Budget request provide adequate balance and attention to the core and problem driven research needed to provide satisfactory knowledge for current and future decisions EPA will be required to make?**
- 3:50 pm      **Charge Question 4: Is the EPA research and development program addressing the important issues needed to meet EPA's strategic objectives and protect human health and the environment in the US and globally? What important issues are not receiving adequate attention at the requested level of resources provided for the R&D program and the S&T budget?**
- 4:35 pm      **Charge Question 5: How can EPA better use measures of performance that focus on environmental outcomes to identify the impact of its research and development program and the funds that Congress provides for that program?**
- 5:20 pm      Wrap-up from day one and plans for day two      Committee
- 5:30 pm      Adjourn (time approximate)

## **Tuesday, February 25<sup>th</sup>**

8:30 am      Reconvene      Dr. Genevieve Matanoski, STRP Chair

The leads for the charge questions will be asked to provide their written comments to Tom Miller by 7:30 am. Mr. Miller will make arrangements to have these inserted into the draft report for members to review by approximately 9:00.

8:35 am      Interaction with the EPA Science Advisor and Assistant Administrator for Research and Development      Dr. Paul Gilman

Dr. Gilman will provide comments on the overall directions of science and technology at the Environmental Protection Agency. The Panel members will then discuss the results of their first day's deliberations and interactions with EPA science managers and question Dr. Gilman on issues that have been raised during the first day of the review.

9:35 am      Draft Report      Committee Members

The Committee will review the rough draft of the report, discuss the content and make revisions to the report. SAB resources will do the word processing for the discussion.

11:30 am      **Presentations from the Public** Dr. Kei Koizumi, American Association for the Advancement of Science

12:00 pm      Lunch

1:00            Prepare for Congressional Testimony Committee Members

- Identify messages
- Identify potential questions & answers
- Complete first draft of report

Committee members will identify key messages to be carried forward; they will identify potential Q&As that might be asked by Congress, and they will be given assignments to finalize the report.

3:00 pm      Adjourn (time approximate)

February 21, 2003